C A Johnson Preparatory Academy

2219 Barhamville Rd.

Columbia, South Carolina 29204

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 548 Students

Principal Kathie Greer 803-253-7092

Superintendent Dr. Allen J. Coles 803-231-7500

Board Chair Lane Quinn 803-231-7556

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 1 1 16

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 10 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No						
2004	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No						
2005	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	No						
2006	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No						

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		,	gh Schools w			
				Students Like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	52.4	34.7	48.5	60.0	55.0	57.1		
Passed 1 subtest	19.0	31.4	23.5	19.9	23.3	18.5		
Passed no subtests	28.6	33.9	27.9	20.1	21.6	29.3		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	80.4%	82.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.2	2.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	5.2	2.9
Seniors who met the grade point average	35.1	28.7

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	148	137
Number of Diplomas	86	81
Rate	58.1%	63.7%

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	62.9	68.2					
English 1	54.5	50.0					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	8.8	33.1					
Physical Science	15.6	20.1					
All Subjects	37.6	43.0					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
		P Passage Rate Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All Students	112	80.4	97	5.2	86	58.1	Yes
Gender							
Male	53	75.5	36	2.8	64	40.6	N/A
Female	56	89.3	61	6.6	84	71.4	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	109	82.6	97	5.2	148	58.1	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
Non disabled	97	88.7	97	5.2	132	62.1	N/A
Disabilities other than speech	15	26.7	0	0.0	16	25.0	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-migrant	112	80.4	97	5.2	148	58.1	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	112	80.4	97	5.2	148	58.1	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	86	77.9	121	55.4	121	55.4	N/A
Full-pay meals	26	88.5	22	18.2	27	70.4	N/A

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

			GROUP	

	1.	1	1.	. /	1	1	10	_/	1
	Enrollment 1st	g / jg	% Below Baci.	<i>[</i>	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M
	[je je j	% Tested	/ A	% Basic		<i>[] [8]</i>	Ge.		
	/ lo 5	/ %	/ g	/ %	1 4	1 8	1 2 1	/ 6 fo	
	Pa 17	1	%	/	/ %	/ %	1 2 5	/ ² 8	\ _{\\} _\&
Ė	nglish/Lan		s - State	<i>r</i> Performa	nce Obje	tive = 52	.3%		
All Students	136	95.6	30.7	37.7	20.2	11.4	35.1	No	Yes
Gender									
Male	60	95.0	41.2	27.5	17.6	13.7	33.3	N/A	N/A
Female	76	96.1	22.2	46.0	22.2	9.5	36.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	134	96.3	31.0	37.2	20.4	11.5	35.4	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	108	97.2	19.8	40.7	25.3	14.3	44.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	28	89.3	73.9	26.1	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	136	95.6	30.7	37.7	20.2	11.4	35.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	136	95.6	30.7	37.7	20.2	11.4	35.1	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	107	98.1	33.3	38.5	19.8	8.3	32.3	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	29	86.2	16.7	33.3	22.2	27.8	50.0	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	rs – State	Perform	ance Ohie	ective = 50	1 0%			
All Students	136	96.3	44.3	40.9	11.3	3.5	30.4	Yes	Yes
Gender	100	30.0	44.0	+0.5	11.0	0.0	00.4	103	103
Male	60	93.3	46.0	32.0	16.0	6.0	36.0	N/A	N/A
Female	76	98.7	43.1	47.7	7.7	1.5	26.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	10	30.7	70.1	41.1	7.7	1.0	20.2	14// (14// (
White	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	134	97.0	44.7	40.4	11.4	3.5	30.7	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		14//	14//	14//	14//	14//	14//	.,5	.,3
Not Disabled	108	98.1	34.8	47.8	13.0	4.3	37.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	28	89.3	82.6	13.0	4.3	N/A	4.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		55.5	02.0	10.0	7.0	14//1	7.0	1,0	1,0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	136	96.3	44.3	40.9	11.3	3.5	30.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	100	00.0	17.0	10.0	. 1.0	0.0	50.7	. 4// \	. 4// \
-inglian i romolomoj									

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

Non-Limited English Proficient

0

136

N/A

96.3

97.2

N/A

44.3

48.4

N/A

40.9

38.9

N/A

11.3

10.5

N/A

3.5

2.1

N/A

30.4

27.4

I/S

N/A

Yes

I/S

N/A

Yes

N/A

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School		inge from ast Year	Hig Scho with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 548)						
Retention rate Attendance rate	10.3% 93.5%		om 17.7% om 95.2%		10.2% 95.0%	7.0% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	6.4%	No char	nge		3.4%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	18.5%	- P			15.5%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade	11.9%		om 17.3%		14.5%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.7%				1.2%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	19.1% N/A	- 1	0.0%		4.7% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	5.2%				2.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate			om 8.0%		2.8%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%		om 4.8%		3.7%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	287	Down fr			280	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	4.2%		om 17.1%		21.6%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	75.2%	Up from	1 60.4%		73.6%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 45)	100.0% ents.	N/A	_		98.4%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	48.9%	Down fr	om 50.0%		50.0%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV				N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	16.0%	N/A			16.0%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	18.9%	Up from	13.9%		17.4%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	77.1%				78.2%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate			om 95.6%		94.3%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$40,468 6.2 days		.1% om 8.1 days		41,368 .5 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from	3.0		3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects			18.7 to 1).9 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.9%		om 89.8%		87.4%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,893	Down 7			\$8,734	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.6%	Up from	157.8%		52.4%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	66.2%				59.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent		0		Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences		99.7% Up from 9.4%			83.0%	92.8%
SACS accreditation Character development		Yes No change Average Down from Good			Yes Good	Yes Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	DOWN		District	Good	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high	y qualified teach	ers		7.1%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				1.5%		10.2%
01 11 11 11 11 11	0: 1 :		State Object	ctive	Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	tnis school		0.0%			No

Abbreviations for Missing Data

94.0%*

No

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

C. A. Johnson Preparatory Academy (formerly C. A. Johnson High School) continues to operate within the framework of its three guiding principles: building community trust, improving student outcomes and creating a healthy learning environment. The 2005-2006 school year yielded many significant outcomes. The academy completed the sixth year of its partnership with Benedict College, which was highlighted by numerous students completing college level courses for dual credit at no cost to them.

The academy's focus has been to increase the academic performance of our students and prepare them for higher education. Initiatives such as the Benedict Summer Academy, 8.5 Academy, Freshman Academy, After-School Tutoring Program, and increased enrollment in Advanced Placement classes have been implemented to provide academic enrichment for our students.

Our students have reaped the benefits of our academic initiatives, and their performance has soared to unparalleled heights of excellence. Several students earned the necessary score on the American College Test (ACT) or Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) to qualify for the state's Life Scholarship. Two students' scores were high enough to distinguish themselves as Palmetto's Fellows. Ten students were honored by Richland School District One as Academic All-Stars, and three of those were distinguished as High School Scholars. One student was elected governor of Palmetto Girls State and honored as a National Merit Finalist.

Further, we had a significant increase in the number of students scoring three or above on the Advanced Placement examinations, and scores on the SAT and ACT continued to rise significantly. Our 95-point gain on the SAT ranked second among all high schools in the State of South Carolina. Also, over 50% of our tenth graders passed both parts of the High School Assessment, and our school's improvement index (.9) on the State Report Card was the highest among all Richland One schools.

For the 2006-2007 school year, we will continue to implement our school's renewal plan and explore creative means of getting parents more involved in the educations of their children. Infusing more rigor into the curriculum, maintaining high expectations of our students, keeping a safe academic environment and providing meaningful growth opportunities for our staff will remain our top priorities.

Dr. James A. Taylor, Principal, C. A. Johnson Preparatory Academy Ms. Barbara Stroman, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	48	66	26
Percent satisfied with learning environment	85.4%	68.2%	84.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	89.4%	69.2%	65.4%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	47.9%	79.4%	70.8%

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.